



October 28, 2014 | Gowen 301

Call to Order: 5:04 pm

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

5:04 pm

Brady Begin notes that R-21-8 has been withdrawn. He asks for additions, deletions, or modifications to the Senate Agenda.

There is a clicker vote to approve the agenda.

82.1.3

SPEAKER'S REPORT

5:06 pm

Brady Begin welcomes everyone, wishes them a Happy Halloween. He reminds Senate of the upcoming costume contest, saying there will be a vote and the winner will get a chocolate bar, a spooky squash, and a personalized haiku from **Kevin Celustka**.

VICE SPEAKER'S REPORT

5:07 pm

Kevin Celustka says last week at Board they looked at 'Cake,' which was almost sent back because of the 'cake is better than ice cream' clause. But in the end they passed it. They also approved budgets for two events. He adds that he is setting up forums with Board of Regents members and faculty, tonight there is Marnie Brown, soon Denzel Suite and Michael Young.

Kevin Celustka says that for his parliamentary procedure discussion he is talking about committees today, he reminds everyone they have a choice of which committee they are in. There are 2 kinds of committees: legislative and non-legislative. Legislative committees include AAA, On-Campus, Off-Campus, and GA. Non-legislative are POMCOM, Style, and Oversight. Legislative committees will

get resolutions after first readings. They have informal discussion and make amendments, and basically it's just a quicker process than doing that on the floor. The committee Chair reports back whether the committee voted in favor or against. As a non-legislative committee, Oversight manages liaisons, can recall leadership, and generally ensure Senate is working properly. Steering, which he encourages people to attend, plans Senate agenda, and discusses organic acts. They're open meetings and we would love to have more senators involved. He adds that the Vice Speaker blog will be posted on Thursday nights now.

MEMBERSHIP COORDINATOR'S REPORT

5:12 pm

Jessa Cameron says attendance is based off of votes: approval of agenda, final passage of any legislation, and adjournment. You can miss about 2 meetings per quarter. You can get a proxy twice per quarter. That person comes and gets your clicker to vote for you. She says to e-mail her your name and clicker number and any special instructions to proxy. If senators miss more they lose voting rights, so they have to come meet with Jessa the Monday before the week they want voting rights back. She reminds senators that they represent people and are also accountable to each other. Senate can't develop the best student opinion if people don't show up. She adds that the e-clicker is an option, e-mail her if you want to use it, there is also an android app to use e-clicker. She asks everyone to like the Facebook page, she wants to get to 800 likes. Also join Senate group. If you have any questions just e-mail her and she encourages people to come hang out in Senate office.

BOARD MEMBER OF THE WEEK

5:16 pm

Tyler Wu is board member of the week. His job entails reaching out to communities including the Greek communities, RCSA, commuters, and Senate. He acts as liaison to all communities from ASUW, and brings info about those communities to ASUW. He says he is a junior studying psychology, and going into higher education one day. He was IFC liaison last year. His goals include making sure communities know what ASUW is and how it can help. He likes that he gets to work with so many people. He encourages people to tell him what they want to do so he can help them. He chairs Student Safety Committee, which works with UWPD and SPD, and is always accepting more people. He says he also emceed homecoming, and organized the first UW color fight. He's also promoting the Husky Leadership Retreat this Saturday 10-3 at UW Tower. The retreat brings together RSO leaders to see what everyone is doing, helps them figure out how to collaborate. He wants everyone to reach out to their community and get people to attend. There will be free food and RSOs can win up to \$500 to put toward an event.

Nikhil Pailoor asks if he oversees the SAO.

Tyler Wu answers no, but he works to facilitate collaboration between the SAO and RSOs and ASUW.

Hailey Badger introduces herself and asks everyone to apply for Student Debt Reduction Working Group, if they're interested in policy work, research, or campus outreach. She says to ask her if you have any questions. Apply at: tinyurl.com/asuwstudentdebt

ENTITY OF THE WEEK

5:20 pm

Mitch Brown introduces himself as the Assistant Director of the Office of Government Relations (OGR).

Katherine Sims introduces herself as the Legislative Programming Coordinator.

Barrie Sugarman introduces herself as the Organizing Coordinator.

Mitch Brown says he is going to discuss OGR's goals. Their main goal is to maintain the tuition freeze. He points out that there's a \$150 million difference that needs to be made up by the legislature. They also want to fully fund the student need grant, where there's about a \$271 million shortfall. He describes OGR's overall goal as to change the language surrounding education. Instead of a tuition problem it's a school affordability problem. OGR wants people to see universities as investment in the community, the city, and the state.

Katherine Sims says she plans events for OGR, and she wants people to feel they are making a difference in terms of talking to government officials. The legislative reception is coming up, where students can sit down and eat with their legislators, talk about what UW means to them and why we need to keep funding it. Students do need to sign up on the catalyst survey, but no one will be turned away.

Barrie Sugarman says it's really important that everyone vote, reminds everyone to turn in their ballots. There will be a drop box Nov. 1st and 3rd 10-5. No postage is required if you put it in a drop box. OGR will also have phone banks to remind people to vote, the first one being Thursday from 5:30-7:30 in the ASUW suite, and it will be Halloween themed. Then Monday at same time. She says she'll post in the Senate Facebook group.

Mitch Brown says there will be a lot of other events, like lobbying in Olympia where they would like to have as many students as possible. It's a great experience and you can meet legislators face to face. OGR wants to see as many volunteers as possible.

Barrie Sugarman says to go to the volunteer website to be on the Engage UW committee, which is the volunteer arm of OGR, to put on successful events and increase campus civic engagement.

Katherine Sims says if you are interested in event planning or politics at all you should join them.

Brady Begin explains forums as opportunities for Senators to ask questions of administrators.

Marnie Brown is UW Student Regent this year on UW Board of Regents. She says UW is a public institutions so it's supposed to be run by the governor. He delegates his authority to 10 individuals, the Regents. They can hire and fire the president, they deal with expenditures, handle changes in curriculum and tuition, among other things. She says she was in Senate her freshman year. She graduated with a Bachelor's in Accounting and is back for her Masters in Accounting with an emphasis on Tax Law. She was in Senate, became liaison to Special Appropriations Committee, and became an administrative assistant to the Board of Directors. She was also on the UW Bookstore Board of Trustees, was a tour guide, and Senate Vice Chair. ASUW is great way to get more involved. She says some things coming up for the Board of Regents include North Campus housing remodeling, as well as lobbying in Olympia, which she encourages people to get involved in. She asks for questions.

Roy Taylor says the UW has to pay a competitive salary in order to attract good faculty. He asks how she feels about raising tuition a bit to pay professors more competitively.

Marnie Brown says she thinks of herself as regent who happens to be a student. It's her responsibility to bring student opinion to Regents, it's not her obligation to vote in way of the students. The UW is a premier research institution, and it touches so many people around the world, a lot of that starting with our faculty. Regents are very, very aware that tuition is extremely high, and the last thing they want to do is raise it. Not just tuition, but books are a high expense for students. Regents are aware of financial hardships and the last thing they want to do is raise tuition. Faculty have been upset about salaries not raising as much as they want them to.

Joe Telegen asks how she feels about class size increases as a way of getting around tuition problems.

Marnie Brown says the Board haven't touched on that issue yet. They know classes are large and faculty are taking on more than they thought they would. She hasn't heard any comment from Regents about that yet.

Katelyn Zhou asks about housing expenses with the North Campus renovations.

Marnie Brown says they had a big discussion about housing costs. Two regents said the costs have to be something they get under control. She asked to be part of that conversation. They went through HFS budget and requests to make sure they were doing the best they could to be economically reasonable. She says they are doing great job keeping prices low. It was actually more expensive to renovate the old residence halls than to tear them down. Leaving them standing is not an option, it's just not safe. HFS is making sure the construction materials are economically friendly, no concrete walls, for example. Regents still understand that dorms are expensive and North Campus will be as expensive as West Campus is now. They will make triples and quads an option. They considered making buildings of only triples and quads, but they didn't want a segregated dorm system. The U district itself is being revamped, which is great, but it is costly. She says eventually the residence halls will become cheaper while U district apartments will be more expensive. Cost will be less of a factor.

Eli McMeen asks her to speak to goals for the Board of Regents and her goals.

Marnie Brown explains the Regents use an aerial view, top-down. Most issues they deal with are on a bigger scale. Her personal goals are to look at mental health. Depression and anxiety are the top two reasons for dropouts, especially with social media having the effect it does. The resources there for students are expanding and changing. She's also looking into taxes. She loves taxes and knows they're a great way to raise revenue. She's looking into tax loopholes to save money for the University. As a whole, the Regents' primary agenda is campus renovation.

Cassie McMaster thanks **Marnie** for coming, asks if her experience with ASUW helped prepare her, make her more qualified to advocate for students with the Regents.

Marnie Brown says absolutely yes. From regents you see that students do have a say, how important it is. ASUW trained her well, she first heard about the Student Regent position as Administrative Assistant to the Board of Directors. ASUW taught her a lot about team work, speaking in front of people, and she became aware of different things student were doing on campus. She heard about how Board of Directors is involved, who they represent. She was able to make relations, a lot of whom are still there so she can ask people questions about things they're involved in. She says the Board of Regents love students, and encourages everyone to come to meetings as they are all open to public. They're on the 2nd Thursday in November. Committee meetings involve more action, but real meeting starts at 1 in Suzzallo. Regents love to hear from students and there is time for student speakers, the Regents love asking them questions. They ask **Marnie** how students feel about things a lot. She was in intermurals, a Capella, Disney club, and she has friends in ASUW. She highly encourages people to apply for the Student Regent next year, they haven't had an undergraduate for a while, but they encourage them to apply.

Russell Wiita asks if the state legislature increases funding to higher education, what are the Regents' priorities with that money?

Marnie Brown says they haven't really lined up priorities for that. Her guess is that they would remodel the life sciences building. They've already asked for funding from the state to do that remodel.

Adam Griffis thanks her and asks the reasoning behind raise given to **Michael Young**.

Marnie Brown says she was in both meetings to discuss that. President Young has done a great job. Regents have had concerns about his leadership. He's an introvert and they had expectations for him and he's exceeded those. He's constantly working, flying to China, working for university. He was making significantly less than presidents of comparable universities. He's done a phenomenal job and to keep him they thought they should pay him a more competitive salary. He was very appreciative.

Katherine Sims asks the best way for students to have their opinions heard by the Board of Regents.

Marnie Brown says students have an elected leader, **Christina Xiao**, who sits at the table. She gives a report. She encourages students, if there's something they're passionate about, to tell **Christina**. **Marnie** says she also loves getting e-mails from students about issues they care about. It's her job

and she is a student so she understands what it's like. She says don't hesitate to e-mail her and talk to **Christina**.

Kevin Celustka says he'll post her e-mail in his blog.

Marnie Brown says she'll be back next week in Senate with Chair of the Board, Bill Ayer. She says Senate will see that they really do care about students. When she asked if they wanted to come she got a huge response. He's great and you will love him.

OLD BUSINESS

4:56 pm

R-21-6: A Resolution in Support of General Election Voting

Brady Begin reminds Senate we voted to consider R-21-6 in an expedited manner, which means it moved straight to second readings. He invites **Kevin Shotwell** to come read the resolution as Senate didn't do first readings.

Kevin Shotwell reads the resolution.

Arielle Menn asks if it's appropriate to move it to style to fix grammatical mistakes.

Brady Begin says you don't have to worry about that, those will be fixed no matter what.

Kevin Celustka says also because we expedited it we don't send it to committee.

Russell Wiita asks what the sponsor has in mind in terms of resources for the third 'THAT' clause.

Kevin Shotwell says the resources include the ballot drop box, communicating to students the value of casting the ballot, things along those lines. He says he wasn't given a chance to speak to the resolution yet. He mentions it's an analog of a resolution adopted in 2012 encouraging constituents to vote in general election. He also notes that some of grammatical mistakes were made in PDF version.

Christina Xiao offers amendment to final 'THAT' clause, the forwarding clause, to strike **Ana Mari Cauce** and **Michael Young**, and replace it with **Denzil Suite**, Vice President of Student Life.

Seconded

Seeing no objections, the amendment is adopted.

Barrie Sugarman would like to add a WHEREAS clause to say King County ballot drop van will be in Red Square on the 1st and the 3rd from 10 am to 8 pm, as the last WHEREAS clause.

Seconded

Seeing no objections, the amendment is adopted.

Arielle Menn calls the previous question.

Objection

Brady Begin explains a yes vote means we end debate and vote to pass the resolution. No means Senate will continue debate.

12.78.5

Adam Griffis moves to add a 'THAT' clause stating that the Association requests the urgent addition of a permanent ballot drop box on the university campus.

Seconded

Eli McMeen makes a friendly amendment to remove 'urgent'.

Adam Griffis takes the amendment as friendly.

Kevin Celustka reminds Senate that any member of the body can reject a friendly amendment.

Thomas Crowe objects to **Adam's** amendment on the grounds that it's not relevant to spirit of the bill.

Adam Griffis says the resolution at hand is to urge students to vote and the point of the past student resolution was the same. It's easier to vote if there is a drop box nearby.

Alex Switzer says he doesn't know if it's necessary since we passed it before.

Russell Wiita says since we still don't have a permanent ballot drop box it's definitely relevant and reasonable to put it in another resolution.

Kay Fuhlman says she supports the amendment, saying she doesn't have a car and she isn't registered to vote in the area. It would be much easier for her to vote if there were a permanent drop box.

Timmy Bendis yields his time to **Adam Griffis**.

Adam Griffis says he's pushing OGR to implement the permanent drop box and that was also why he had the word 'urgent'. OGR has a discussion on figuring out when and how to take action. He thinks amendment would push that conversation.

Katelyn Zhou says she agrees it would be helpful, but asks if it's open to everyone in Seattle.

Brady Begin says King County drop boxes are open to the public in general. If you're registered to vote in Issaquah you would still be able to drop your ballot in Red Square if we had a drop box.

Thomas Crowe says he believes **Adam Griffis's** goals are good, but nowhere does it say OGR's responsible.

Arielle Menn asks where the drop box would be.

Russell Wiita says it will be wherever the King County bureaucrats want it on campus.

Adam Griffis moves to vote on his amendment.

Seconded

Objection

Adam Griffis says it's been debated enough.

Barrie Sugarman speaks to the objection, saying that since 2 OGR employees still have something to say, they should be able to contribute.

There is a voice vote, and the nays have it.

Katherine Sims says that, as an employee of OGR, they lobby for a permanent drop box and the administration needs to comply as well as the county. OGR can't just implement it on their own.

Barrie Sugarman provides context, saying Senate passed a resolution to do this last spring. It's a cool idea. She agrees with **Katherine** that it's not OGR who gets the drop box, and as Senate we can encourage the administration. To improve the THAT clause we could include a WHEREAS clause that references the other legislation, to remind people we already passed it.

There is a motion to vote.

Seconded

There is a voice vote on the amendment, the ayes have it.

Brady Begin says Senate is back to debating the resolution as a whole.

Russell Wiita moves to amend the fourth THAT clause to say 'the Association continues to support'.

Seconded

Seeing no objections that amendment is approved.

David Goldstone moves to amend 2nd WHEREAS clause to strike 'both' and 'and duty' from the clause.

Seconded

Objection

David Goldstone says we don't have the duty to vote. It is our right and privilege, but not our duty. His familiarity is that it's not a duty, but an obligation.

Megan Brewer says it's a stronger resolution if it's included and she thinks it is our duty to vote.

Thomas Crowe says duty is a loaded term and we as a legislative body should exclude it, unless we want to have a long, detailed discussion about civic duty.

Ian Anderson says this implies that if you don't do it you're a bad person.

Russell Wiita says it is a duty, as well as a right.

Roy Taylor says he wants to keep 'duty'. It is an opinion but Senate is an opinion making body. As democratically elected senators, we should be able to agree that democracy functions only when people care enough to vote. We neither say nor imply that you're a bad person if you don't vote.

Brady Begin says that he doesn't want to detract from **Roy's** point, but he points out that the vast majority of people in the room are not democratically elected.

Bruce Adsero says it's not a 'THAT' clause saying you have to do it, but it is something we consider a duty. You're welcome to oppose the amendment if you don't think voting is a duty.

Alex Switzer moves the previous question.

Objection

Thomas Crowe says people still wish to speak, cutting them off is inappropriate.

There is a voice vote, and the ayes have it. Senate will vote on the amendment.

There is a voice vote on the amendment to strike duty from the 'THAT' clause, and the nays have it.

Adam Griffis moves to add a WHEREAS clause as the fourth clause regarding the previously passed resolutions to increase student access to ballot drop boxes.

Seconded

Seeing no objections, the amendment is adopted.

Alex Switzer moves to strike everything after Seattle campus in the second 'THAT' clause.

Seconded

Seeing no objections, the amendment is adopted.

Russell Wiita calls the previous question.

There is a voice vote, and the ayes have it.

There is a clicker vote.

89.1.4

R-21-6 has passed.

NEW BUSINESS

6:21 pm

R-21-4: A Resolution to Align the University's Financial Investments with its Commitment to Leadership in Sustainability

Brady Begin explains that first readings is for clarifying questions only, no statements of opinion.

Timmy Bendis reads the resolution.

Morgan Sinclair says he submitted it on behalf of his student group which thinks coal companies submit the most greenhouse gas emissions. From a financial perspective it makes sense to stop investments in coal. He says Stanford divested from coal last May so there is precedent for this.

Megan Brewer asks about GPSS Director of University Affairs, **Austin Wright-Pettibone**, those not being the same person.

Timmy Bendis says it's supposed to be sent to **Austin**, not the GPSS Director.

Arielle Menn asks that the sponsors speak to importance of going through the Board of Regents rather than the Treasury.

Morgan Sinclair says this is a recommendation to the Board of Regents. If we can get it through Senate then, when the students speak with the Board about this issue, the Regents will know what the students think, and will take that into consideration.

Timmy Bendis says that the Regents care what the students think, and the Treasury cares what the Regents think.

James Itaya asks if it's easier to withdraw all funds immediately rather than doing it slowly.

Morgan Sinclair says yes. The UW doesn't have that much money in coal in the first place, making this largely a symbolic act.

Preston Gullege asks about the range of companies whose principal business is not coal mining but which still have some investment in mining coal.

Morgan Sinclair says they want to use the list Stanford has used, he think the definition is a company which gets the majority of funding from coal.

Timmy Bendis says it does leave a small loophole, but it shouldn't be a broad problem.

Jeff Yuan asks how much we currently have invested in coal and what the impact of removing those investments immediately would be.

Morgan Sinclair says the treasury hasn't told them exactly, but he's confident it's not very much. He says the impact would be pretty minimal.

Nikhil Pailoor moves to transfer this bill to the Committee on General Affairs (GA) after first readings.

Seconded

Objection

Nikhil Pailoor says this is the way of making sure this goes to the proper committee after first readings, whenever first readings end.

David Goldstone says it's more appropriate for the Committee on Academic and Administration Affairs (AAA)

Kay Fuhlman says it should go to GA because the legislation crosses sections of university life.

David Goldstone says he believes AAA better encompasses ideas of the resolution as an administration affair, not a general affair of the University at large.

Arielle Menn offers friendly amendment to add special instructions that the committee include amendments and pros and cons to the resolution.

Brady Begin clarifies that that isn't necessary as committee meetings involve a review of the resolution, favorable or unfavorable, with or without amendments.

Roy Taylor says that, as Chair of AAA, he thinks it should go to GA. Both names sound inclusive but AAA should address student life more appropriately. He and **Kay Fuhlman** agree, so it should go to her committee.

Joe Telegen moves to vote

Seconded

There is a voice vote, and the ayes have it.

Russell Wiita moves to table this legislation indefinitely.

Seconded

Objection

Russell Wiita says Session XIX passed very similar legislation and they discussed it a lot, and it got watered down to an effort to look at the University's options. That legislation asked that the UW freeze any new direct investments, and as far as he knows they have. He says this resolution aims to fix a problem that isn't necessarily a problem as UW has responded to this before.

Timmy Bendis says that in the last two years circumstances have changed and student opinion may have changed, as well as our ability to enact it the legislation.

James Itaya says he supports the amendment and he doesn't want to table this as there is a national movement to shore all coal plants. This isn't focused on the broad public but UW could take the first steps to eliminate funding of coal mines.

Hailey Badger agrees we should not table the resolution, it's not wrong to revisit the issue if we aren't happy with the result. She supports the ceremonial message as well.

Teos Bisbee says this new resolution is about coal and she would like to look further into it.

Timmy Bendis moves the previous question.

There is a voice vote, and the ayes have it. Senate will vote on whether or not to table the resolution.

There is a voice vote to table, and the nays have it.

Thomas Crowe asks why it only addresses coal.

Morgan Sinclair says he's talked to the treasury and originally they wanted to remove investments from all fossil fuels, but the treasury thought it would be better to write, for example, the Exxon CEO and ask them to do better for the environment. He says he doesn't think it's as effective, but the treasury does. Regarding coal, he says the nature of the market is flawed and it's more likely to go through the Board of Regents this way.

Hillary Pham asks how this resolution effects student life.

Timmy Bendis says it won't. The University will be more environmentally friendly, but it won't affect day to day life. You won't make money off of destroying the environment, good job.

Hillary Pham asks what the consequences are of not investing in these companies.

Timmy Bendis explains they tried to get the companies to clean up their act and they didn't. To pass this resolution would be symbolic, and implementing it would improve UW's financial portfolio. It's not a massive portion of budget but it's important.

Jeff Yuan asks on average how much investments in coal make.

Morgan Sinclair says he would have to ask treasury on that.

Nikhil Pailoor asks how the resolution would affect studies of the UW on coal companies. He read about a study UW was part of on how coal mines effected tree growth in the area. Activities like this aren't a main function of coal companies, but he wants to know if studies like this that may be beneficial would be negatively affected.

Timmy Bendis says we have a large research following. We have large forestry and engineering departments. Just because we don't invest doesn't mean we won't be invited to do these studies.

Aram Ambartsumyan asks whether the UW has any money invested in the transportation of coal.

Morgan Sinclair says he doesn't think so, but he is not sure.

Kevin Shotwell asks about divestment pieces in the abstract. He likes standards to avoid arbitrary capricious voting. He asks after standard the sponsors think Senate should use for deciding whether to use divestment. Should the University use divestment for every political end?

Morgan Sinclair says the UW has already committed to being green. The resolution doesn't really taken a different political stance than the University already has. By divesting from coal we're betting that coal companies would be profitable even though Washington State is already committed to phasing out coal. We want the UW to act on stances they've already taken.

Timmy Bendis says the coal industry is too far along, we've bet they won't clean up their act. He says divestment doesn't work for every single issue, but with this issue that is largely symbolic, this is the way to go.

Preston Gullege asks about this idea as the best economic decision for the University. He says there has been a lot of growth in the coal industry lately and it may be a strong economic move to engage in coal.

Brady Begin points out he's blurred the line between question and commentary.

Timmy Bendis says just because a coal company improved from September to October doesn't mean anything. Just before winter any energy company's stock will spike. Overall, the rate of return is lower than other investments we could do. Technology, for example, or the environment. He says we could do better for environment and for us.

Joe Telegen asks after the 10th WHEREAS clause, whether consideration should be given to shifting that WHEREAS clause to specifically name coal.

Morgan Sinclair says he would be open to that change, but rest of his group would prefer it stay this way.

Madison Wright moves to end first readings and go directly to the costume contest.

Seconded

Objection

Madison Wright says it's 6:53 and if we want to end on time we can do this next week.

Kevin Shotwell says it's important that we have a robust first reading of this legislation. If you fragment first readings it tends to reduce quality, and legislative work is more important than a costume contest.

Russell Wiita offers friendly amendment to jump ahead to costume contest and not end first readings.

The amendment is not taken as friendly.

Hillary Pham offers a friendly amendment to have committee meetings.

Kevin Shotwell says motions to suspend rules are not debatable past 30 seconds to each side.

There is a voice vote, and the ayes have it.

SENATE GETS SPOOKY COSTUME CONTEST

6:45 pm

Brady Begin asks everyone who thinks they have the best costume to come down and be part of the contest. Points out we have not moved to adjourn so senators should not leave.

Megan Brewer says she's Mary Poppins and she made part of her costume from clay.

Kaitlyn is a pirate and **Madison Wright** is a burglar.

Elyse Janzen is from the 80s.

Natalie is from the 80s.

Hillary Pham is an angel.

Hailey Badger is grapes.

Alexander Wirth is spooky.

Arielle Menn is *Menn* in Black.

Timmy Bendis is Ferris Bueller.

Amber Amin is a ceiling fan.

James Itaya is a ninja.

Katherine Sims is a pilot.

Preston Gullege is ‘that guy.’

Brady Begin explains that the voting system cannot accommodate more than 9 people and we have 13 or so. So there will be an informal applause vote.

Brady Begin regards **Hailey, Amber, Preston** and **Megan** as finalists from the applause vote.

Megan Brewer is the winner.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

7:03 pm

Brady Begin reminds everyone that each announcement is limited to 30 seconds.

Cassie McMaster reminds everyone that the fun continues at Yeti Yogurt after the meeting, all senators are encouraged to attend.

Megan Brewer says she’s with the school of drama and Neighborhood 3: Requisition of Doom is still playing and everyone should go. Tickets are \$5.

Barrie Sugarman from OGR reminds that the Engage UW application is closing tonight. Also, she wants everyone to come to the phone bank on Thursday.

Roy Taylor asks who’s applying to law school. He says the Washington Undergraduate Law Review will have a panel, the details of which are on the Facebook page, and it will be the first Wednesday in November.

Adam Griffis says he made a Facebook page for his committee, and requests that all committee members join it.

ADJOURNMENT

7:04 pm

Brady Begin says our next order of business is to adjourn.

There is a clicker vote.

81.4.4

Adjourned: 7:04 pm

Minutes Prepared by Kim Lowe

Administrative Assistant to the Student Senate